

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 * * *

4 TATIANA BOGGS,

5 Plaintiff,

6 v.

7 UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY,
8 et al.,

9 Defendants.

Case No. 2:18-cv-00816-JCM-CWH

ORDER

10
11 Presently before the court is the parties' joint discovery plan and scheduling order
12 submitted in compliance with LR 26-1(e) (ECF No. 8), filed on June 25, 2018. The parties
13 request 360 days to conduct discovery, with a proposed cut-off date of May 2, 2019.

14 Local Rule 26-1 provides that discovery plans must include a certification that the parties
15 "met and conferred about the possibility of using alternative dispute-resolution processes
16 including mediation, arbitration, and if applicable, early neutral evaluation." LR 26-1(b)(7).
17 Additionally, the parties must certify that "that they considered consent to trial by a magistrate
18 judge" LR 26-1(b)(8). The parties' proposed plan does not provide the required
19 certifications setting forth that they considered using the alternative dispute-resolution process
20 and trial by a magistrate judge. Therefore, the court will deny the motion for failure to comply
21 with Local Rule 26-1(b)(7)-(8).

22 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the parties' joint discovery plain and scheduling
23 order (ECF No. 8) is DENIED without prejudice.

24
25 DATED: July 3, 2018

26
27 
28 C.W. HOFFMAN, JR.
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE